

PROPOSAL EVALUATION

IRWM Grant Program – Planning Grant, Round 1, FY 2010-2011

Applicant	California Trout	County	San Bernardino, Inyo, Mono, Kern
Project Title	Inyo-Mono IRWM Plan Revisions Project	Grant Request	\$237,615
		Total Project Cost	\$331,653

Project Description The Inyo-Mono IRWM group will create a comprehensive IRWM Plan by building on the foundation of the initial plan which was designed to Proposition 50 standards. The IRWM group will continue to build local capacity among relevant stakeholders involved in the Inyo-Mono Regional Water Management Group to enable a more effective identification of water needs within the region and to better determine strategies and actions to address such needs.

Evaluation Summary

Scoring Criterion	Score
Work Plan	15
DAC Involvement	10
Schedule	10
Budget	8
Program Preferences	3
Geographic Balance	0
Total Score	46

- **Work Plan** Work plan tasks in this section are logically addressed and show a progression to result in a revised Plan that will meet the new IRWM plan standards. The history and composition of the IRWM group, the geographic planning area, the hydrology, water infrastructure, geography, demographics, stakeholder outreach, and recruitment are all well-presented.
- **DAC Involvement** The proposal identifies numerous DACs including, 11 communities in Inyo County, four communities in Mono County, and one community for Kern and San Bernardino Counties. A task in the work plan will engage DACs and Native American tribal communities through interactive workshops to identify their water related issues and provide CEQA education for project development.
- **Schedule** The task descriptions in the schedule matches the items presented in the work plan and budget. The schedule is consistent, reasonable, and shows a completion date of one year from the start of the grant award.
- **Budget** The budget for all plan tasks has detailed information and the costs are reasonable, but the supporting documentation lacks sufficient detail. A budget summary chart is provided for each task. The information is accurately listed, and the requested amounts were reasonable but the links between the detailed information and the tasks were missing.
- **Program Preference** The proposal presents several program preferences; however, only three demonstrate adequate details and assures high level of certainty. They are: include regional projects or programs, ensure equitable distribution of benefits, and climate change.
- **Geographic Balance** Not Applicable